

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09258388)

## Journal of Alloys and Compounds



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom)

# Calculation on the energy transition coefficient by up-conversion emission intensity ratio

### Chengguo Ming<sup>a,b</sup>, Feng Song<sup>a,b,∗</sup>, Yin Yu<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Photonics Center, College of Physical Science, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

<sup>b</sup> The Key Laboratory of Weak Light Nonlinear Photonics, Ministry of Education, Nankai University, Tianjin 300457, China

#### article info

Article history: Received 6 June 2010 Accepted 29 June 2010 Available online 8 July 2010

Keywords:  $Er<sup>3+</sup>/Yb<sup>3+</sup>$  co-doped Phosphate glass Energy transition

#### **1. Introduction**

The materials doped with rare earth ions have widely been studied for the photo-electricity and biomedicine devices. Especially, the UC emission of the  $Er^{3+}$  ions doped materials has been applied to the short wavelength laser, temperature sensor, color display [\[1–3\],](#page-2-0) and so on. Considering the larger absorption cross-section of  $Yb^{3+}$  ion for 975 nm light, the  $Yb^{3+}$  ion is usually introduced as sensitizer. The ET of  $Yb^{3+}$  to  $Er^{3+}$  is very complicated and strong in the  $Er^{3+}/Yb^{3+}$  co-doped materials, such as ET1:  ${}^{2}F_{2/5}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}I_{15/2}(Er^{3+}) \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{7/2}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}I_{11/2}(Er^{3+}),$ <br>ET2:  ${}^{2}F_{2/5}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}I_{11/2}(Er^{3+}) \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{7/2}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}F_{7/2}(Er^{3+})$ , ET3:  ${}^{2}F_{2/5}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}I_{13/2}(Er^{3+}) \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{7/2}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}F_{9/2}(Er^{3+})$ , ET4:<br>  ${}^{2}F_{2/5}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}F_{9/2}(Er^{3+}) \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{7/2}(Yb^{3+}) + {}^{4}G_{11/2}(Er^{3+})$ . It is necessary to make clear the micro-mechanism of the ET. Dexter [\[4–6\]](#page-2-0) and Inokuti–Hirayama models [\[7–9\]](#page-2-0) are usually used to calculate the ET coefficient between rare earth ions. For the Dexter model, the overlap integral of the emission spectrum (donor ions) and the absorption spectrum (acceptor ions) is very important. But sometimes the spectra are obtained very difficult. For example, if we want to calculate the energy transition coefficient of ET2, ET3 and ET4, the absorption spectra of  $Er<sup>3+</sup>$  corresponding to the transitions:  ${}^{4}I_{11/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}F_{7/2}$ ,  ${}^{4}I_{13/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}F_{9/2}$  and  ${}^{4}F_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}G_{11/2}$  must be obtained. But their absorption spectra can hardly be measured (needing very low temperature). Using Inokuti–Hirayama model,

E-mail address: [fsong@nankai.edu.cn](mailto:fsong@nankai.edu.cn) (F. Song).

#### **ABSTRACT**

The  $Er^{3+}/Yb^{3+}$  co-doped phosphate glass was prepared by high temperature fusing method. The upconversion (UC) emission of the sample was measured at 975 nm laser diode (LD) excitation. Using the ratio of the integral intensity of the UC green emission to that of the UC red emission at different pump powers, we calculated the energy transition (ET) coefficient of  $Yb^{3+}$  to  $Er^{3+}$ .

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

we can calculate the total energy transition coefficient of  $Yb^{3+}$ to  $Er^{3+}$ . But the ET coefficients of ET2, ET3 and ET4 cannot be obtained. In this letter, using the ratio of the integral intensity of the UC emissions at different pump powers, we calculated the energy transition coefficients of ET2, ET3 and ET4.

#### **2. Experimental procedures**

The phosphate glass with the composition of  $(80P_2O_5 - 20Li_2O) - 0.05Er_2O_3$ − 0.5Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (mol%) was prepared by high temperature fusing method. The start raw materials, consisting of reagent grade  $NH_4H_2PO_4$ ,  $Li_2CO_3$ ,  $Er_2O_3$  and  $Yb_2O_3$ , were mixed thoroughly. Initially, the furnace was heated to 600 K at the rate of 1 K min−1, and held at the temperature for 2 h to release the volatile components. Finally, the furnace temperature was raised to 1620 K at the rate of 2 K min−1, and controlled at the temperature for 3 h to melt the raw materials completely. A clear, viscous melt was poured onto a preheated stainless-steel plate in air. The glass sample was heated at 720 K for 6 h to release the thermal stress. Finally, the sample was incised and surface-polished for optical measurements.

The photoluminescence spectrum was measured with a model F111AI fluorescence spectrophotometer at 975 nm LD excitation. The visible light and near infrared luminescence were detected by photomultiplier tube detector and Ge detector, respectively.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

[Fig. 1](#page-1-0) shows the UC emission spectrum of the  $Er^{3+}/Yb^{3+}$  codoped phosphate glass in the 500–700 nm wavelength range at 975 nm LD excitation. The UC red emission at 659 nm, the UC green emissions at 523 and 546 nm are observed. They come from the transition of Er<sup>3+</sup> ions:  ${}^{4}F_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ ,  ${}^{2}H_{11/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$  and  ${}^{4}S_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ , respectively.

[Fig. 2](#page-1-0) shows the ratios of the integral intensity of the UC green emissions to that of the red emission dependence on the pump

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author at: Photonics Center, College of Physical Science, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. Fax: +86 22 2350 1743.

<sup>0925-8388/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.jallcom.2010.06.198](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2010.06.198)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

**Fig. 1.** UC emission spectrum of the sample at 975 nm LD excitation.

power. It is very obvious that the ratios continuously increase with the increasing of the pump power. By exponential fitting, the fitting curve is in good agreement with the experimental curve. The fitting results are indicated in Fig. 2. Finally, we obtain the function:

$$
I_{\text{green}}/I_{\text{red}} = 0.2401 \exp(P/239.0454). \tag{1}
$$

Fig. 3 shows the energy level diagram of  $Yb^{3+}$  and  $Er^{3+}$ , as well as the proposed UC processes at the excitation of 975 nm LD. The population processes of the UC green emissions can be described as follows: by ET1 and ET2, the  $Er^{3+}$  ions in the ground state are excited to  ${}^{4}F_{7/2}$  state. Then the ions rapidly relax to  ${}^{2}H/_{11/2}$  and  ${}^{4}S_{3/2}$  states by non-radiative transition, from which the green emissions arise. For the red emission, the ions in the ground state transfer to  $\frac{4}{111/2}$ state by ET1. Then the ions relax to  ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$  non-radiatively. By ET3, the ions in  ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$  state are pumped to  ${}^{4}F_{9/2}$  state. At the same time, the ions in <sup>2</sup>H/<sub>11/2</sub> and <sup>4</sup>S<sub>3/2</sub> states also relax to <sup>4</sup>F<sub>9/2</sub> state by nonradiative transition. At higher pump power, the ions in the  ${}^{4}F_{9/2}$ state can also be excited to  ${}^4G_{11/2}$  by ET4. Subsequently, the ions relax to <sup>2</sup>H<sub>11/2</sub> and <sup>4</sup>S<sub>3/2</sub> states by non-radiative transition.

To verify and make a theoretical interpretation of the dependence relation between emission intensity and pump power, we



**Fig. 2.** Ratios of the integral intensity of the UC green emissions to that of the red emission VS the pump power.

utilize the following rate equations:

$$
dN_2/dt = -A_2N_2 + \sigma_{Yb}N_1P/Shv_p - k_{23}N_2N_3 - k_{24}N_2N_4 - k_{25}N_2N_5 - k_{26}N_2N_6
$$
\n(2)

$$
N_1 = N_{\text{Yb}} - N_2 \tag{3}
$$

$$
dN_4/dt = -(A_4 + w_{43})N_4 - k_{24}N_2N_4 + w_{54}N_5
$$
 (4)

$$
dN_5/dt = -(A_5 + w_{54})N_5 + k_{23}N_2N_3 - k_{25}N_2N_5 + w_{65}N_6
$$
 (5)

$$
dN_6/dt = -(A_6 + w_{65})N_6 + k_{24}N_2N_4 - k_{26}N_2N_6 + w_{76}N_7
$$
 (6)

$$
dN_7/dt = -(A_7 + w_{76})N_7 + k_{25}N_2N_5 + k_{26}N_2N_6
$$
 (7)

$$
N_3 = N_{\text{Er}} - N_4 - N_5 - N_6 - N_7 \tag{8}
$$

where  $N_{Yb}$  and  $N_{Er}$  are the total ytterbium and erbium concentrations,  $N_i$  represents the population density of the corresponding



**Fig. 3.** Energy level diagram of Yb<sup>3+</sup> and Er<sup>3+</sup>, as well as the proposed UC processes at the excitation of 975 nm LD.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>levels shown in [Fig. 3,](#page-1-0)  $k_{ii}$  and  $w_{ii}$  are the coefficients of energy transfer and non-radiative transition probabilities between the i and j level, A<sup>i</sup> corresponds to the spontaneous radiative probabilities of level i, the  $P$  and  $v<sub>p</sub>$  symbolize the laser power and frequency,  $S$  and  $\sigma_\mathrm{Yb}$  are the area of the laser spot and the absorption cross-section of  $Yb^{3+}$ .

Under steady-state excitation, considering  $A_2N_2 \gg (k_{23}N_2N_3)$  $+ k_{24}N_2N_4 + k_{25}N_2N_5 + k_{26}N_2N_6$ , the equation can be obtained from Eq. [\(2\):](#page-1-0)

$$
N_2 = \sigma_{\text{Yb}} N_{\text{Yb}} P / S A_2 h v_{\text{p}}.\tag{9}
$$

From Eqs. [\(4\)–\(7\), w](#page-1-0)e get the following expression:

$$
N_7/N_6 = (k_{25}k_{26}/w_{54}A_7)N_2^2 + [k_{25}A_6/w_{54}A_7 + k_{25}k_{26}A_4/k_{24}w_{54}A_7 + k_{26}/A_7]N_2 + k_{25}A_4A_6/k_{24}w_{54}A_7.
$$
 (10)

The emission intensity I and the population density  $N_i$  have the following relation:

$$
I = \sum h v_i A_i N_i. \tag{11}
$$

From Eqs.  $(9)$ – $(11)$ , we obtain the following equation:

$$
I_7/I_6 = (k_{25}k_{26}\nu_7/w_{54}\nu_6A_6)(\sigma_{Yb}N_{Yb}/SA_2h\nu_p)^2p^2
$$
  
+ 
$$
[k_{25}\nu_7/\nu_6w_{54} + k_{25}k_{26}A_4\nu_7/k_{24}w_{54}A_6\nu_6 + k_{26}\nu_7/A_6\nu_6]
$$

$$
\times \sigma_{\text{Yb}} N_{\text{Yb}} P / S A_2 h v_p + k_{25} A_4 v_7 / k_{24} w_{54} v_6. \tag{12}
$$

Form Eq. [\(1\),](#page-1-0) by Taylor expansion, we obtain (the first three items retained):

$$
I_{\text{green}}/I_{\text{red}} = 0.240 + 0.001P + 2.083 \times 10^{-6} P^2. \tag{13}
$$

Comparing Eq. (12) with (13), we obtain  $K_{24}$  = 2.42  $\times$  10<sup>-17</sup> (ET3),  $K_{25}$  = 1.53 × 10<sup>-15</sup> (ET2) and  $K_{26}$  = 8.16 × 10<sup>-17</sup> (ET4). The radiative transition probabilities  $A_i$  are calculated by Judd-Ofelt theory [10,11]. The non-radiative transition probabilities  $w_{ii}$  are calculated by the following equation [12]:

$$
w = w_0 \exp(a\Delta E), \tag{14}
$$

where  $w_0$  and  $\alpha$  are positive constant depending on the properties of host material and generally independent of the kind of rare earth ions or the electronic state involved.  $\Delta E$  is the energy gap between the two energy levels of interest.

#### **4. Conclusions**

In a word, by high temperature fusing method, the  $Er^{3+}/Yb^{3+}$ co-doped phosphate glass was prepared. At 975 nm LD excitation, the UC red and green emissions were observed. Using the ratio of the integral intensity of the green emission to that of the red emission, we calculated the ET coefficients of ET2, ET3 and ET4. The result shows that this way is feasible to calculate the ET of  $Yb^{3+}$  to  $Er<sup>3+</sup>$ . The work will be helpful to analyze the ET between rare earth ions.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the Natural Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 60778038), and the Program for Innovative Research Team in University.

#### **References**

- [1] L. Han, F. Song, S.Q. Chen, C.G. Zou, X.C. Yu, J.G. Tian, J. Xu, X. Xu, G. Zhao, Appl. Phys. Lett. 93 (2008) 011110-1–111110-3.
- [2] B. Dong, D.P. Liu, X.J. Wang, T. Yang, S.M. Miao, C.R. Li, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90 (2007) 181117-1–1181117-3.
- [3] E. Downing, L. Hesseink, J. Ralston, R. Macfarlane, Science 273 (1996) 1185–1189.
- [4] T.H. Lee, J. Heo, Phys. Rev. B 73 (2006) 144201-1–1144201-9.
- [5] I.R. Martín, V.D. Rodríguez, U.R. Rodríguez-Mendoza, V. Lavín, E. Montoya, D. Jaque, J. Chem. Phys. 111 (1999) 1191–1993.
- [6] A. Braud, S. Girard, J.L. Doualan, M. Thuau, R. Moncorgé, Phys. Rev. B 61 (2000) 5280–5292.
- [7] O. Guillot-Noel, Ph. Goldner, Y.L. Du, P. Loiseau, B. Julsgaard, S. KÖrll, Phys. Rev. B 75 (2007) 205110-1–1205110-7.
- [8] L.D. da Vila, L. Gomes, C.R. Eyzaguirre, Opt. Mater. 27 (2005) 1333–1339.
- [9] P. Kabro, J.A. Capobianco, F.S. Ermeneux, R. Moncorgé, M. Bettinelli, E. Cavalli, J. Appl. Phys. 82 (1997) 3983–3986.
- [10] B.R. Judd, Phys. Rev. B 127 (1963) 750-761.
- [11] G.S. Ofelt, J. Chem. Phys. 37 (1962) 520–551.
- [12] Q.P. Chen, M. Ferraris, D. Milanese, D. Milanese, Y. Menke, E. Monchiero, G. Perrone, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 324 (2003) 12–20.